

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/731,358	12/09/2003	Alexander P. Routberg	0R696-71036	4414	
32009 7	590 11/10/2005		EXAM	INER	
BRADLEY ARANT ROSE & WHITE LLP 200 CLINTON AVE. WEST			VO, TUYET THI		
SUITE 900	AVE. WEST		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HUNTSVILLE	E, AL 35801		2821		

DATE MAILED: 11/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A1	inction No	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		Appi	ication No.					
		10/7	31,358	ROUTBERG ET	AL.			
		Exar	niner	Art Unit				
_		Tuye		2821				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	cation appears o	n the cover sheet with t	he correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months affed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE Of if 37 CFR 1.136(a). In inication: utory period will apply ill, by statute, cause the	F THIS COMMUNICAT no event, however, may a reply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS ne application to become ABAND	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on 02 Septem	ber 2005.					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1.2 and 4-16 is/are pending	in the application	n.					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)[5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🖾	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5-7,14 and 16</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🖂	·							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including				CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority of		• •					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	· ·							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	·O-948)	4) Interview Sumi Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) ail Date				
	e of Dransperson's Fateric Drawing Review (Fr mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F		5) Notice of Inform	mal Patent Application (PT	TO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/731,358

Art Unit: 2821

DETAILED ACTION

Remarks

Indication of allowable subject matter issued in the previous action has been withdrawn due to a new ground rejection is provided as follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 6, lines 1 and 2, a recitation of "the feed system creates a layer of cooling gas adjacent to the interior of the plasma generator" raises a confusion since the cooling gas being generated within the plasma generator, therefore, it occupies a part of total interior of plasma generator so how it can be a location that adjacent to the interior of the plasma generator unless the cooling gas being generated from the outside of the generator.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground

Application/Control Number: 10/731,358

Art Unit: 2821

provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1, 2 and 16 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1, 18 and 22 of U. S. Patent No. 6,781,087 B1 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: a plurality of pneumatic feed rings located within a plasma generator which is supplied/energized by an alternating current and a plurality of electrodes generates an arc to heat the plasma gas (working stream).

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 5-7 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chism et al. (US Pat. 6,781,087), hereinafter Chism.
- 8. Chism discloses substantially the claim invention applied to claims 1, 2 and 16 as indicated above. However, Chism does not disclose the feeding system supplied to the plasma generator at a tangential angle or the alternating current power source comprises a multiple phase transformer that connects each electrode to conventional alternating current utility network or the

Application/Control Number: 10/731,358 Page 4

Art Unit: 2821

ac power source operates at frequency of 1-10 KHz. The above limitations are a matter of design choice in order to extend or improve the system such implementations are considered as a routine of ordinary skill in the art such as a feeding system can be supplied to the plasma generator in any manner, perpendicular or an angle with the generator wall, as long as it meets its desired flowing and the ac power source can be operated at any frequency range as long as it suited. Similarly to the ac power source which utilizes one or multiphase transformer in order to increase the power as well as the timing for driving the plasma system in a desired manner.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claims 4, 8-13 and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 10. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior fails to disclose four feeding rings located inside of the plasma generator as required in claim 4 or the number of phases of the transformer is equal to the number of electrodes as required in claim 7. The prior art also lacks to support the plasma generator connected to an alternating current source via at least one separation filter as required in claim 15.

Citation of pertinent prior art

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicants' disclosure.

Nakahigashi et al. (US Pat. 5,651,825) discloses plasma generating apparatus and plasma processing apparatus.

Correspondence

Art Unit: 2821

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuyet Vo whose telephone number is 571 272 1830. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong can be reached on 571 272 1834. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 571 273 8300 for regular communications and for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571 272 2800.

Information regarding the status of an application or status information for publicing/unpublicing applications may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at toll free 866-217-9197.

Tuyet Vol

Primary Examiner

November 08, 2005